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Poster viewing of 30 minutes. Presentations will take place on stage. Standard presentations are 3 minutes in length, followed by 2 minutes for discussion.

17:00 - 17:03
Introduction

P068
Does type of post PCNL nephrostomy tube impacts pain and blood loss?
By: Salman J. , Aziz W.J. , Ather H.A.
Aga Khan University Hospital, Dept. of Surgery, Karachi, Pakistan

P070
Robotic-assisted pyeloplasty in patients with kidney stones: Feasibility and functional outcomes
By: Marchioni M.¹ , Larini A.¹ , D'orta C.¹ , Primiceri G.¹ , Rizzoli A.¹ , Nicolai M.¹ , Berardinelli F.¹ , Cindolo L.² , Schips L.¹
¹“G. D’Annunzio” University of Chieti, Dept. of Urology, Chieti, Italy, ²Villa Stuart, Dept. of Urology, Rome, Italy

P072
Innovative technique Bullseye fluoroscopic renal access in supine PCNL B
By: Bhatti K.H.¹ , Amjad A.¹ , Nadeem S.¹ , Faaz G.² , Khalid A.R.¹ , Ahmed S.¹
¹Hmc , Dept. of Urology, Alkhor, Qatar, ²Hmc , Dept. of Urology, Alkhor, Qatar

P073
Percutaneous management of stones in pelvic kidneys - A tertiary hospital experience
By: Rodrigues Fonseca R.¹ , Lains Mota R.¹ , Peyroteo I.² , Alpoim Lopes F.¹ , Bilé Silva A.¹ , Santos J.C.¹
¹Hospital de Egas Moniz - Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental, Dept. of Urology, Lisbon, Portugal, ²Instituto Português de Oncologia Francisco Gentil, Dept. of Urology, Lisbon, Portugal

P074
Effectiveness and safety of the percutaneous nephrolithotripsy through the upper calyx
By: Talyshinskii A. , Guliev B.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P076</td>
<td>Comparative study of miniaturized percutaneous nephrolithotomy with standard and modified aspiration sheath for the treatment of kidney stones 20-30 mm in diameter</td>
<td>Gatsev O., Hristoforov S., Petrov P., Petkova K., Saltirov I.</td>
<td>Clinic of Endourology and SWL, Military Medical Academy, Dept. of Urology and Nephrology, Sofia, Bulgaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P077</td>
<td>Efficacy and safety of percutaneous nephrolithotomy in patients with chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>Petkova K., Saltirov I.</td>
<td>Military Medical Academy, Dept. of Urology and Nephrology, Sofia, Bulgaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P078</td>
<td>Calyceal choice for renal access under sonographic guidance: Does it really affect the success rates of percutaneous nephrolithotomy?</td>
<td>Eryildirim B., Sarica K., Ustun F., Sevinc A.H., Simsek B., Tarhan F.</td>
<td>Kartal Dr. Lutfi Kirdar Training and Research Hospital, Dept. of Urology, Istanbul, Turkey, Kafkas University, Medicine School, Dept. of Urology, Kars, Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P079</td>
<td>Retroperitoneoscopic approach for urolithiasis' treatment</td>
<td>Peraire Lores M., Bauza Quetglas J.L., Tubau Vidaña V., Guimerà Garcia J., Aizpiri Antoñana L., Ladaria Sureda L., Aliaga Ayuni C., Coello Torà I., Pieras Ayala E.</td>
<td>Son Espases University Hospital, Dept. of Urology, Palma De Mallorca, Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P082</td>
<td>Efficacy and safety of different amplatz sheath sizes used in pediatric PCNL: A single center experience</td>
<td>Shaikh J., Saulat S., Azad M., Soomro A., Qadri S., Khalid F.</td>
<td>Tabba Kidney Institute, Dept. of Pediatric Urology, Karachi, Pakistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P083</td>
<td>From prone to supine PCNL: A single centre's experience and outcomes</td>
<td>Yeow Y., Tan L.R.L., Lee Y.M.</td>
<td>Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Dept. of Urology, Singapore, Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P084</td>
<td>Super-mini PCNL vs RIRS with single use-flexible ureteroscope for the treatment of lower pole renal stones &lt;20 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
18:28 - 18:30  Conclusion